



वर्षेषय कृतृस्वकम्

Central Sanskrit University

Jaipur Campus

(Established by an Act of Parliament)

(Formerly Rashtriya Sanskrit Sansthan, Deemed to be University)
Under Ministry of Education, Govt. of India

FEEDBACK ANALYSIS REPORT 2017-18 to 2021-22



DEPARTMENT OF JYOTISH



Address for correspondence

Gopalpura Bypass, Triveni Nagar Jaipur, Pin (302018) Tel.No: 0141-2761115, Fax No: 0141-2760686

E mail: csujaipur@gmail.com website: http://csu-jaipur.edu.in/

Central Sanskrit University, Jaipur Campus

Established by an Act of Parliament
Under Ministry of Education
Government of India
Summary of the Feedback Analysis
National Assessment and Accreditation Council (NAAC)

Department of Jyotishastra

INDEX


S No.	Feedback Summary	Page. No.
1.	Analysis and Graph of Students Feedback	3-42
2.	Analysis and Graph of Parents Feedback	43-48
3.	Analysis and Graph of Alumni Feedback	49-54
4.	Analysis and Graph of Employers Feedback	55-64
5.	Analysis and Graph of Teachers Feedback	65-74

Analysis and Graph of Students Feedback


In this feedback form a special four to five-point scales on the curriculum is developed. For students, it addressed curriculum and its learning related issues in terms of quality, competence, skills and professionalism. This feedback also considers other issues like delivery of curriculum by teachers.

Students Feedback 2021-22


Q1 How well did the teachers prepare for the classes?		
Thoroughly	63.47	
Satisfactorily	23	
Poorly	9.82	
Indifferently	3.49	




Q2 How well were the teachers able to communicate?	
Always effective	62
Sometimes effective	30
Just satisfactory	0.96
General ineffective	7.04
Very poor communication	62




Q3 The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	
Excellent	60.44
Very Good	14
Good	5.14
Fair	20.16
Poor	0.26




Q4 The teachers illustrate the concepts through examples and applications	
Every time	65
Never	3.74
Occasionally/Sometimes	10.98
Rarely	5.28
Usually	15




Q5 How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	
30 to 54%	5.76
55 to 69%	20.23
70 to 84%	13.41
85 to 100%	59.37
Below 30%	1.23




Q6 Teachers inform you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and program outcomes	
Every time	56.27
Never	0
Occasionally/Sometimes	16.35
Rarely	2.66
Usually	24.72




Q7 The teachers identify your strengths and encourage you with providing right level of challenges		
Fully	55.25	
Partially	16.98	
Reasonably	23.41	
Slightly	2.47	
Unable to	1.89	




Q8 Teachers are able to identify your weaknesses and help you to overcome them	
Every time	55.54
Never	0.12
Occasionally/Sometimes	12.66
Rarely	5.68
Usually	26




Q9 Teachers encourage you to participate in extracurricular activities	
Every time	60.98
Never	0.32
Occasionally/Sometimes	10.35
Rarely	4.23
Usually	24.12




Q10 Fairness of the internal evaluation process by the	
teachers	
Always fair	64.42
Usually fair	25.85
Usually unfair	5.14
Sometimes unfair	4.26
Unfair	0.33




Q11 Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?	
Every time	71.86
Never	1.27
Occasionally/Sometimes	4.23
Rarely	1.11
Usually	21.53




Q12 Your mentor does a necessary follow-up with an assigned task to you	
Every time	51.43
I don't have a mentor	0.98
Occasionally/Sometimes	10.32
Rarely	5.25
Usually	32.02




Q13 What percentage of teachers use ICT tools such as LCD projectors, Multimedia, etc. while teaching	
30-49%	21
50-69%	50
70-89%	24
Above 90%	4
Below 29%	1




Q14 The institute takes an active interest in promoting internship, student exchange, field visit opportunities for students	
Regularly	42.24
Never	1.99
Sometimes	30.6
Rarely	3.6
Often	21.57




Q15 The teaching and mentoring process in your institution facilitates you in cognitive, social and emotional growth	
Moderately	10.01
Significantly	34.62
Very well	52.43
Not at all	1.95
Marginally	0.99




Q16 The institution provides multiple opportunities to learn and grow	
Every time	45.56
Never	0.71
Occasionally/Sometimes	25.32
Rarely	6.78
Usually	21.63




Q17 The institution makes effort to engage students in the monitoring, review, and continuous quality improvement of the teaching learning process	
Every time	55.64
Never	1.53
Occasionally/Sometimes	8.9
Rarely	0.22
Usually	33.71




Q18 The institute/ teachers use student centric methods, such as experiential learning, participative learning, and problem-solving methodologies for enhancing learning experiences	
Moderate	24.14
To a great extent	50.47
Not at all	1.39
Very little	1.46
Somewhat	22.54



Q19 Efforts are made by the institute/ teachers to inculcate soft skills, life skills and employability skills to make you ready for the world of work	
To a great extent	53.31
Moderate	27.75
Very little	2.24
Somewhat	15.37
Not at all	1.33




Q20 The overall quality of teaching-learning process in your institute is very good	
Every time	69.86
Never	1.23
Occasionally/Sometimes	5.23
Rarely	3.27
Usually	20.53




Feedback of Students 2020-21


Q1 How well did the teachers prepare for the classes?	
Thoroughly	62
Satisfactorily	30
Poorly	0.96
Indifferently	7.04




Q2 How well were the teachers able to communicate?	
Always effective	60.44
Sometimes effective	14
Just satisfactory	5.14
General ineffective	20.16
Very poor communication	0.26




Q3 The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	
Excellent	63.47
Very Good	23
Good	9.82
Fair	3.49
Poor	0.22




Q4 The teachers illustrate the concepts through examples and applications	
Every time	65.98
Never	3.28
Occasionally/Sometimes	10
Rarely	5
Usually	15.74




Q5 How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	
30 to 54%	5.23
55 to 69%	21.76
70 to 84%	12.41
85 to 100%	58.37
Below 30%	2.23




Q6 Teachers inform you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and program outcomes	
Every time	56.27
Never	0
Occasionally/Sometimes	16.35
Rarely	2.66
Usually	24.72




Q7 The teachers identify your strengths and encourage you with providing right level of challenges	
Fully	55.98
Partially	16.25
Reasonably	23.47
Slightly	2.41
Unable to	1.89




Q8 Teachers are able to identify your weaknesses and help you to overcome them	
Every time	55.12
Never	0.54
Occasionally/Sometimes	12.68
Rarely	5.66
Usually	26




Q9 Teachers encourage you to participate in extracurricular activities	
Every time	60.23
Never	0.98
Occasionally/Sometimes	10.32
Rarely	4.12
Usually	24.35




Q10 Fairness of the internal evaluation process by the teachers	
Always fair	64.85
Usually fair	25.42
Usually unfair	5.14
Sometimes unfair	4.33
Unfair	0.26




Q11 Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?	
Every time	66
Never	0.52
Occasionally/Sometimes	5.23
Rarely	0.25
Usually	28




Q12 Your mentor does a necessary follow-up with an assigned task to	
you	
Every time	66.25
I don't have a mentor	0.52
Occasionally/Sometimes	5.23
Rarely	0
Usually	28




Q13 What percentage of teachers use ICT tools such as LCD projectors, Multimedia, etc. while teaching	
30-49%	25
50-69%	44.5
70-89%	21.5
Above 90%	3.5
Below 29%	4.5




Q14 The institute takes an active interest in promoting internship, student exchange, field visit opportunities for students	
Regularly	40.24
Never	2.44
Sometimes	30.99
Rarely	6.32
Often	20.01




Q15 The teaching and mentoring process in your institution facilitates you in cognitive, social and emotional growth			
Moderately	11.79		
Significantly	34		
Very well	52.7		
Not at all	0.51		
Marginally	1		



Q16 The institution provides multiple opportunities to learn and grow				
Every time	41.06			
Never	2.65			
Occasionally/Sometimes	24.05			
Rarely	17.23			
Usually	15.01			




Q17 The institution makes effort to engage students in the monitoring, review, and continuous quality improvement of the teaching learning process			
Every time	53.22		
Never	1.26		
Occasionally/Sometimes	11.87		
Rarely	0.72		
Usually	32.93		




Q18 The institute/ teachers use student centric methods, such as experiential learning, participative learning, and problem-solving methodologies for enhancing learning experiences				
Moderate	21.87			
To a great extent	45			
Not at all	2			
Very little	8			

Somewhat


23.13



Q19 Efforts are made by the institute/ teachers to inculcate soft skills, life skills and employability skills to make you ready for the world of work			
To a great extent	53.37		
Moderate	20.96		
Very little	5.56		
Somewhat	18.65		
Not at all	1.46		




Q20 The overall quality of teaching-learning process in your institute is very good			
Every time	66		
Never	0.25		
Occasionally/Sometimes	5		
Rarely	0.23		
Usually	28.52		




Analysis and graph of Parents feedback Session 2021-22

We have received the total feedback from the parents on the current content of the syllabus that was helped students' future.


Parents feedback of Session (2021-22)					
	Responses (in Percentage)				
Statement		Very			
	Excellent	Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
Quality Of Teaching	57	16.5	19.4	6.01	1.08
Infrastructure in Campus	59	21	12.8	6.4	0.8
Fee Structure	49.9	24.5	13.8	11.8	0
Parent-Student relation	62	24.16	10	3.48	0.36
Non-Teaching/ Staff-Student relation	56	25.29	11.6	6.03	1.08
Co-curricular and Extracurricular activities	57	29.27	8.44	4.09	1.2
Financial aid (Scholarship)	49.9	34.5	10.2	5.45	0
Course content is relevant	53.5	30.45	11.4	3.48	1.17
Student Discipline	48.78	34.94	10	5.08	1.2
Examination System Adopted in the	54.45	20.65	12.8	10.9	1.2
University					
Overall performance of the University	60.66	24	9.06	5.48	0.8




Parents feedback of Session (2020-21)						
		Responses (in Percentage)				
Statement		Very				
	Excellent	Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	
Quality Of Teaching	60.7	17	12.8	8.3	1.2	
Infrastructure in Campus	60.25	20	12.8	6.29	0.66	
Fee Structure	55	21	17.8	5.25	0.93	
Parent-Student relation	54	28.8	13.6	3.15	0.44	
Non-Teaching/ Staff-Student relation	57	16.5	19.4	6.01	1.08	
Co-curricular and Extracurricular activities	59	21	12.8	6.4	0.8	
Financial aid (Scholarship)	49.9	24.5	13.8	11.8	0	
Course content is relevant	50.75	24.75	12.4	10.9	1.2	
Student Discipline	60.7	17	12.8	8.3	1.2	
Examination System Adopted in the University	60.25	20	12.8	6.29	0.66	
Overall performance of the University	59.98	22	12.8	4.05	1.17	




Parents feedback of Session (2019-20)						
	Responses (in Percentage)					
Statement		Very				
	Excellent	Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	
Quality Of Teaching	54	28.8	13.6	3.15	0.44	
Infrastructure in Campus	57	16.5	19.4	6.01	1.08	
Fee Structure	57.17	21.64	15	5.26	0.93	
Parent-Student relation	62	20.4	14.1	3.14	0.35	
Non-Teaching/ Staff-Student relation	56.43	25.86	10.6	6.03	1.08	
Co-curricular and Extracurricular activities	54.86	21	12.8	10.9	0.44	
Financial aid (Scholarship)	59	19.96	9.67	11.37	0	
Course content is relevant	61	22.54	11.4	4.08	0.98	
Student Discipline	60	24	12.2	3.22	0.54	
Examination System Adopted in the	54	21.43	12.8	10.9	0.87	
University						
Overall performance of the University	57	18.34	12.8	10.9	0.96	



Parents feedback of Session (2018-19)						
	Responses (in Percentage)					
Statement		Very				
	Excellent	Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	
Quality Of Teaching	56.43	25.86	10.6	6.03	1.08	
Infrastructure in Campus	54.86	21	12.8	10.9	0.44	
Fee Structure	56	21.64	16.2	5.26	0.93	
Parent-Student relation	60	24.16	10.9	4.44	0.5	
Non-Teaching/ Staff-Student relation	61	20.36	11.5	6.03	1.08	
Co-curricular and Extracurricular activities	63.76	20.04	9.34	5.66	1.2	
Financial aid (Scholarship)	60.52	14.22	13.8	10.64	0.82	
Course content is relevant	57.94	19.37	11.6	9.37	1.68	
Student Discipline	59.8	16	12.1	10.9	1.2	
Examination System Adopted in the	55	24	12.8	7	1.2	
University						
Overall performance of the University	60	20	10.6	8.82	0.6	




Parents feedback of Session (2017-18)					
	Responses (in Percentage))
Statement	Excellen	Very		Satisfactor	Unsatisfactor
	t	Good	Good	\mathbf{y}	y
Quality Of Teaching	59.8	16	12.1	10.9	1.2
Infrastructure in Campus	55	24	12.8	7	1.2
Fee Structure	55.14	21.64	17	5.26	0.96
Parent-Student relation	59	22.71	14.8	3.14	0.36
Non-Teaching/ Staff-Student relation	51.74	25.55	15.6	6.03	1.08
Co-curricular and Extracurricular activities	45.1	30	12.8	10.9	1.2
Financial aid (Scholarship)	57.91	16.9	11.7	11.8	1.65
Course content is relevant	49.98	27	11.4	10.29	1.33
Student Discipline	54.46	20.64	12.8	10.9	1.2
Examination System Adopted in the	60.61	20	12.8	5.66	0.93
University					
Overall performance of the University	58	25	9	6.8	1.2




Analysis and Graph of Alumni Feedback (Session 2021-22 to 2017-18)

For alumni, it aimed for responses on adequateness of courses curriculum, sufficiency of syllabus content in context of current professional standards and curriculum design in context of development of self-directed learning and problem solving approach.


Alumni feedback of Session (2021-22)					
		Res	ponses (in	Percentage)	
Statement	Excellen	Very		Satisfactor	Unsatisfactor
	t	Good	Good	y	у
1. Course content is relevant	56	25.29	11.6	6.03	1.08
2. Course help in developing your	57	29.27	8.44	4.09	1.2
personality					
3. Syllabus enhances employability	49.9	34.5	10.15	5.45	0
4. Teaching methods and Effectiveness	62	24.16	10	3.48	0.36
5. Availability of reading material	56	25.29	11.6	6.03	1.08
(Library/Internet/Others)					
6. Use of Innovative teaching methods	57	29.27	8.44	4.09	1.2
(Group discussion, language practice, Use					
of ICT & others)					
7. Course of study and its relevance to the real life application	49.9	34.5	10.15	5.45	0
8. Guidance and Placement	53.5	30.45	11.4	3.48	1.17
9. Co-curricular and Extracurricular	48.78	34.94	10	5.08	1.2
activities	40.70	34.74	10	3.00	1.2
10. Overall Rating of Campus	54.45	20.65	12.8	10.9	1.2




Alumni feedback of Session (2020-21)							
		Resp	onses (in	Percentage)			
Statement		Very					
	Excellent	Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory		
1. Course content is relevant	61.23	25.09	12.3	1.07	0.31		
2. Course help in developing your personality	58	22	16	2.3	1.7		
3. Syllabus enhances employability	56	23.64	16	3.26	1.1		
4. Teaching methods and Effectiveness	57	16.5	19.41	6.01	1.08		
5. Availability of reading material	59	21	12.8	6.4	0.8		
(Library/Internet/Others)							
6. Use of Innovative teaching methods	49.9	24.5	13.8	11.8	0		
(Group discussion, language practice, Use of							
ICT & others)							
7. Course of study and its relevance to the	50.75	24.75	12.4	10.9	1.2		
real life application							
8. Guidance and Placement	60.7	17	12.8	8.3	1.2		
9. Co-curricular and Extracurricular activities	60.25	20	12.8	6.29	0.66		
10. Overall Rating of Campus	59.98	22	12.8	4.05	1.17		




Alumni feedback of Session (2019-20)							
		Res	ponses (in	Percentage)			
Statement		Very					
	Excellent	Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory		
1. Course content is relevant	60.7	17	12.8	8.3	1.2		
2. Course help in developing your personality	60.25	20	12.8	6.29	0.66		
3. Syllabus enhances employability	62	20.4	14.11	3.14	0.35		
4. Teaching methods and Effectiveness	56.43	25.86	10.6	6.03	1.08		
5. Availability of reading material	54.86	21	12.8	10.9	0.44		
(Library/Internet/Others)							
6. Use of Innovative teaching methods	59	19.96	9.67	11.37	0		
(Group discussion, language practice, Use of ICT & others)							
7. Course of study and its relevance to the real life application	61	22.54	11.4	4.08	0.98		
8. Guidance and Placement	60	24	12.24	3.22	0.54		
9. Co-curricular and Extracurricular activities	54	21.43	12.8	10.9	0.87		
10. Overall Rating of Campus	61.25	19.63	12.17	5.67	1.28		



Alumni feedback of Session (2018-19)							
	Responses (in Percentage)						
Statement	Excellen	Very		Satisfactor	Unsatisfactor		
	t	Good	Good	у	у		
1. Course content is relevant	60.7	17	12.8	8.3	1.2		
2. Course help in developing your	60.25	20	12.8	6.29	0.66		
personality							
3. Syllabus enhances employability	63.76	20.04	9.34	5.66	1.2		
4. Teaching methods and Effectiveness	60.52	14.22	13.8	10.64	0.82		
5. Availability of reading material	57.94	19.37	11.64	9.37	1.68		
(Library/Internet/Others)							
6. Use of Innovative teaching methods	59.8	16	12.1	10.9	1.2		
(Group discussion, language practice, Use							
of ICT & others)							
7. Course of study and its relevance to the	55	24	12.8	7	1.2		
real life application							
8. Guidance and Placement	60	20	10.58	8.82	0.6		
9. Co-curricular and Extracurricular	61.23	19.63	15.79	3.04	0.31		
activities							
10. Overall Rating of Campus	54.27	24.47	14.2	5.36	1.7		



Alumni feedback of Session (2017-18)							
	Responses (in Percentage)						
Statement		Very					
	Excellent	Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory		
1. Course content is relevant	63.76	20.04	9.34	5.66	1.2		
2. Course help in developing your personality	60.52	14.22	13.8	10.64	0.82		
3. Syllabus enhances employability	57.94	19.37	11.64	9.37	1.68		
4. Teaching methods and Effectiveness	59.8	16	12.1	10.9	1.2		
5. Availability of reading material (Library/Internet/Others)	58	25	9	6.8	1.2		
6. Use of Innovative teaching methods (Group discussion, language practice, Use of ICT & others)	61.23	26	10.4	2.06	0.31		
7. Course of study and its relevance to the real life application	55.77	21	16.04	5.36	1.83		
8. Guidance and Placement	55.14	21.64	17	5.26	0.96		
9. Co-cirrcular and Extracurricular activities	59	22.71	14.79	3.14	0.36		
10. Overall Rating of Campus	51.74	25.55	15.6	6.03	1.08		



Analysis and Graph of Employers Feedback (Session 2021-22 to 2017-18)

For employers, it addressed issues like general communication skills, developing solutions to real life problems, working in a team, creative challenges to challenges, organization skills, learning of new techniques, integration of technology for work as learnt through the curriculum.

Employer feedback of Session (2021-22)							
		Res	ponses (in	Percentage)			
Statement		Very					
	Excellent	Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory		
1. General communication skills	60.7	17	12.8	8.3	1.2		
2. Developing practical solutions to work	60.25	20	12.8	6.29	0.66		
place problems							
3. Working as part of a team	60	26	11	2.43	0.57		
4. Creative in response to workplace	58	27.73	9.28	3.58	1.41		
challenges							
5. Their planning and organization skills	60	24.16	10.9	4.44	0.5		
6. Self-motivated and taking on appropriate	61	20.36	11.53	6.03	1.08		
level of responsibility							
7. Open to new ideas and learning new	63.76	20.04	9.34	5.66	1.2		
techniques							
8. Using technology and workplace	60	24	12.24	3.22	0.54		
equipment	10.72		12.0	10.11	0.04		
9. Ability to contribute to achieve the goals	60.52	14.22	13.8	10.64	0.82		
and objectives of the institution							
10. Technical knowledge/skill	57.94	19.37	11.64	9.37	1.68		
11. Ability to manage/leadership qualities	59.8	16	12.1	10.9	1.2		
12. Innovativeness, creativity	55	24	12.8	7	1.2		
13. Relationship with	60	20	10.58	8.82	0.6		
seniors/peers/subordinates							
14. Involvement in social activities	62	24.16	10	3.48	0.36		
15. Ability to take up extra responsibility	56	25.29	11.6	6.03	1.08		
16. Obligation to work beyond schedule if required	57	29.27	8.44	4.09	1.2		

Employer feedback of Session (2021-22)

- Responses (in Percentage) Excellent
- Responses (in Percentage) Very Good
- Responses (in Percentage) Good
- Responses (in Percentage) Satisfactory
- Responses (in Percentage) Unsatisfactory

16. Obligation to work beyond schedule if required15. Ability to take up extra responsibility14. Involvement in social activities

13. Relationship with seniors/peers/subordinates

12. Innovativeness, creativity

11. Ability to manage/leadership qualities

10. Technical knowledge/skill

9. Ability to contribute to achieve the goals and...

8. Using technology and workplace equipment

7. Open to new ideas and learning new techniques

6. Self-motivated and taking on appropriate level of...


5. Their planning and organization skills

4. Creative in response to workplace challenges


3. Working as part of a team

2. Developing practical solutions to work place...

1. General communication skills



Employer feedback of Session (2020-21)						
		Res	ponses (ir	Percentage)		
Statement		Very				
	Excellent	Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	
1. General communication skills	61	20.36	11.53	6.03	1.08	
2. Developing practical solutions to work	63.76	20.04	9.34	5.66	1.2	
place problems						
3. Working as part of a team	60	24	12.24	3.22	0.54	
4. Creative in response to workplace	60.52	14.22	13.8	10.64	0.82	
challenges						
5. Their planning and organization skills	60.7	17	12.8	8.3	1.2	
6. Self-motivated and taking on appropriate	60.25	20	12.8	6.29	0.66	
level of responsibility						
7. Open to new ideas and learning new	60	26	11	2.43	0.57	
techniques						
8. Using technology and workplace	58	27.73	9.28	3.58	1.41	
equipment						
9. Ability to contribute to achieve the goals	55	21	17.82	5.25	0.93	
and objectives of the institution						
10. Technical knowledge/skill	54	28.8	13.61	3.15	0.44	
11. Ability to manage/leadership qualities	57.94	19.37	11.64	9.37	1.68	
12. Innovativeness, creativity	59.8	16	12.1	10.9	1.2	
13. Relationship with	55	24	12.8	7	1.2	
seniors/peers/subordinates						
14. Involvement in social activities	60	20	10.58	8.82	0.6	
15. Ability to take up extra responsibility	60.7	17	12.8	8.3	1.2	
16. Obligation to work beyond schedule if	60.25	20	12.8	6.29	0.66	
required						



Employer feedback of Session (2019-20)						
		Res	ponses (ir	n Percentage)		
Statement		Very				
	Excellent	Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	
1. General communication skills	49.9	24.5	13.8	11.8	0	
2. Developing practical solutions to work	50.75	24.75	12.4	10.9	1.2	
place problems						
3. Working as part of a team	60.7	17	12.8	8.3	1.2	
4. Creative in response to workplace	60.25	20	12.8	6.29	0.66	
challenges						
5. Their planning and organization skills	54	28.8	13.61	3.15	0.44	
6. Self-motivated and taking on appropriate	57	16.5	19.41	6.01	1.08	
level of responsibility						
7. Open to new ideas and learning new	59	21	12.8	6.4	0.8	
techniques						
8. Using technology and workplace	49.9	24.5	13.8	11.8	0	
equipment						
9. Ability to contribute to achieve the goals	60	26	11	2.43	0.57	
and objectives of the institution						
10. Technical knowledge/skill	58	27.73	9.28	3.58	1.41	
11. Ability to manage/leadership qualities	55	21	17.82	5.25	0.93	
12. Innovativeness, creativity	59	18	16.13	5.17	1.7	
13. Relationship with	57.17	21.64	15	5.26	0.93	
seniors/peers/subordinates						
14. Involvement in social activities	62	20.4	14.11	3.14	0.35	
15. Ability to take up extra responsibility	56.43	25.86	10.6	6.03	1.08	
16. Obligation to work beyond schedule if	62	24.16	10	3.48	0.36	
required						



- Responses (in Percentage) Excellent
 - Responses (in Percentage) Very Good
- Responses (in Percentage) Good
- Responses (in Percentage) Satisfactory
- Responses (in Percentage) Unsatisfactory

16. Obligation to work beyond schedule if required

15. Ability to take up extra responsibility

14. Involvement in social activities

13. Relationship with seniors/peers/subordinates

12. Innovativeness, creativity

11. Ability to manage/leadership qualities

10. Technical knowledge/skill

9. Ability to contribute to achieve the goals and...

8. Using technology and workplace equipment

7. Open to new ideas and learning new techniques

6. Self-motivated and taking on appropriate level of...


5. Their planning and organization skills

4. Creative in response to workplace challenges


3. Working as part of a team

2. Developing practical solutions to work place...

1. General communication skills




Employer feedback of Session (2018-19)						
	Responses (in Percentage)					
Statement		Very				
	Excellent	Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	
1. General communication skills	60	26	11	2.43	0.57	
2. Developing practical solutions to work	58	27.73	9.28	3.58	1.41	
place problems						
3. Working as part of a team	55	21	17.82	5.25	0.93	
4. Creative in response to workplace	54	28.8	13.61	3.15	0.44	
challenges						
5. Their planning and organization skills	55	24	12.8	7	1.2	
6. Self-motivated and taking on	56	21.64	16.17	5.26	0.93	
appropriate level of responsibility						
7. Open to new ideas and learning new	60	24.16	10.9	4.44	0.5	
techniques						
8. Using technology and workplace	61	20.36	11.53	6.03	1.08	
equipment						
9. Ability to contribute to achieve the goals	54.27	24.47	14.2	5.36	1.7	
and objectives of the institution						
10. Technical knowledge/skill	56	21.64	16.17	5.26	0.93	
11. Ability to manage/leadership qualities	60	24.16	10.9	4.44	0.5	
12. Innovativeness, creativity	61	20.36	11.53	6.03	1.08	
13. Relationship with	61.23	19.63	15.79	3.04	0.31	
seniors/peers/subordinates						
14. Involvement in social activities	54.27	24.47	14.2	5.36	1.7	
15. Ability to take up extra responsibility	59.8	16	12.1	10.9	1.2	
16. Obligation to work beyond schedule if	55	24	12.8	7	1.2	
required						



1. General communication skills


Employer feedback of Session (2017-18)							
	Responses (in Percentage)						
Statement		Very					
	Excellent	Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory		
1. General communication skills	55	24	12.8	7	1.2		
2. Developing practical solutions to work place problems	60	20	10.58	8.82	0.6		
3. Working as part of a team	60.7	17	12.8	8.3	1.2		
4. Creative in response to workplace challenges	60.25	20	12.8	6.29	0.66		
5. Their planning and organization skills	61.23	26	10.4	2.06	0.31		
6. Self-motivated and taking on appropriate level of responsibility	55.77	21	16.04	5.36	1.83		
7. Open to new ideas and learning new techniques	55.14	21.64	17	5.26	0.96		
8. Using technology and workplace equipment	59	22.71	14.79	3.14	0.36		
9. Ability to contribute to achieve the goals and objectives of the institution	51.74	25.55	15.6	6.03	1.08		
10. Technical knowledge/skill	45.1	30	12.8	10.9	1.2		
11. Ability to manage/leadership qualities	57.91	16.9	11.74	11.8	1.65		
12. Innovativeness, creativity	49.98	27	11.4	10.29	1.33		
13. Relationship with seniors/peers/subordinates	59.8	16	12.1	10.9	1.2		
14. Involvement in social activities	55	24	12.8	7	1.2		
15. Ability to take up extra responsibility	60	20	10.58	8.82	0.6		
16. Obligation to work beyond schedule if required	49.9	34.5	10.15	5.45	0		



Teacher's feedback of Session (2021-22)


For teachers, the feedback addressed issues like suitability the course and its need base, outcomes of the curriculum, relationship with course content and corresponding reference material, availability of reference materials in terms with curriculum, evaluation methods and curriculum delivery, etc.

	Excellent	Very	Good/	Satisfactory/	Unsatisfactory
	/ उत्कृष्ट	Good/	उत्तम	संतुष्ट	/ असंतुष्ट
		बहुत		_	_
2021-22		अच्छा			
Contemporary nature of the syllabus	48	35.74	13.26	2.95	0.05
Content adequacy in the syllabus	42.54	36	16.76	3.68	1.02
Suitability of syllabus for both slow and	48.12	30.83	13.5	7.05	0.5
fast learners					
Completion of the syllabus in classroom	48.6	32.4	12.39	6	0.61
teaching during the Semester/ Annual					
time framework					
Availability of standard textbooks for	45	32.57	15	5.43	2
the coverage of the syllabus					
The evaluation system of the syllabus.	45.31	32.66	17.52	3.2	1.31
Job readiness capability of the syllabus	44	35.6	13	5.9	1.5
Syllabus of the University	47	36.45	14	2.55	0
Value content of the syllabus in making	46	34	13.45	5.55	1
the students good citizens					
University provides opportunities for the continuous development of faculty	43.84	35.67	14.99	4.5	1
commuous development of faculty					




Teachers feedback of Session (2020-21)

	Excellent	Very	Good/	Satisfactory/	Unsatisfactory
	/ उत्कृष्ट	Good/	उत्तम	संतुष्ट	/ असंतुष्ट
		बहुत			
2020-21		अच्छा			
Contemporary nature of the syllabus	47	37	13.5	2.5	0
Content adequacy in the syllabus	48.62	34.8	11.7	3.08	1.8
Suitability of syllabus for both slow and	49.8	30.58	15.55	2.07	2
fast learners					
Completion of the syllabus in classroom	45.54	30.46	15	8	1
teaching during the Semester/ Annual					
time framework					
Availability of standard textbooks for	44.62	28.48	16.88	8.52	1.5
the coverage of the syllabus					
The evaluation system of the syllabus.	45.92	30.08	17.45	5.55	1
Job readiness capability of the syllabus	43.94	36.06	12.6	5.9	1.5
Syllabus of the University	44	38	16	2	0
Value content of the syllabus in making	48.84	36.74	11.87	2.55	0
the students good citizens					_
University provides opportunities for	45.8	30.85	18.47	3.5	1.38
the continuous development of faculty					




Teachers feedback of Session (2019-20)

2019-20	Excellent	Very	Good/	Satisfactory/	Unsatisfactory
	/ उत्कृष्ट	Good/	उत्तम	संतुष्ट	/ असंतुष्ट
		बहुत			
		अच्छा			
Contemporary nature of the syllabus	48	35	12.5	3.5	1
Content adequacy in the syllabus	48.8	34.62	11.08	3.7	1.8
Suitability of syllabus for both slow	48.3	32.08	15.55	3.07	1
and fast learners					
Completion of the syllabus in	45.54	30.46	17	5	2
classroom teaching during the					
Semester/ Annual time framework					
Availability of standard textbooks for	46.2	28.42	16.88	6	2.5
the coverage of the syllabus					
The evaluation system of the syllabus.	45.69	30.48	18	4.08	1.75
Job readiness capability of the syllabus	50.8	29.34	15.26	2.95	1.65
Syllabus of the University	49	36	12	2.55	0.45
Value content of the syllabus in making	49.39	30.25	16	3.3	1.06
the students good citizens					
University provides opportunities for	45.85	30.8	18.5	3.47	1.38
the continuous development of faculty					



Teachers feedback of Session (2018-19)

2018-19	Excellent	Very	Good/	Satisfactory/	Unsatisfactory
	/ उत्कृष्ट	Good/	उत्तम	संतुष्ट	/ असंतुष्ट
		बहुत			
		अच्छा			
Contemporary nature of the syllabus	48.75	34.5	11.8	3.7	1.25
Content adequacy in the syllabus	47.75	32.66	15.34	2.8	1.45
Suitability of syllabus for both slow and	48.7	34.8	11.8	3.23	1.47
fast learners					
Completion of the syllabus in classroom	45	32.47	20.3	2.23	0
teaching during the Semester/ Annual					
time framework					
Availability of standard textbooks for	48.25	34.75	11.5	3.8	1.7
the coverage of the syllabus					
The evaluation system of the syllabus.	45.5	30.85	18.38	3.8	1.47
Job readiness capability of the syllabus	49.06	34.5	11.6	3.8	1.04
Syllabus of the University	48.97	36.89	11.5	2.64	0
Value content of the syllabus in making	40.74	38.71	15.65	3.7	1.2
the students good citizens					
University provides opportunities for the	41.98	36.46	17.54	2.55	1.47
continuous development of faculty					



Teachers feedback of Session (2017-18)

2017-18	Excellent	Very	Good/	Satisfactory/	Unsatisfactory
	/ उत्कृष्ट	Good/	उत्तम	संतुष्ट	/ असंतुष्ट
		बहुत			
		अच्छा			
Contemporary nature of the syllabus	45.5	30.85	18.38	3.8	1.47
Content adequacy in the syllabus	40.74	38.71	15.65	3.7	1.2
Suitability of syllabus for both slow and	48.7	34.8	11.8	3.23	1.47
fast learners					
Completion of the syllabus in classroom	45	32.47	20.3	2.23	0
teaching during the Semester/ Annual					
time framework					
Availability of standard textbooks for	48.25	34.75	11.5	3.8	1.7
the coverage of the syllabus					
The evaluation system of the syllabus.	45	30.85	18.88	3.8	1.47
Job readiness capability of the syllabus	49.06	34.5	11.6	3.8	1.04
Syllabus of the University	48.97	36.89	11.5	2.64	0
Value content of the syllabus in making	41.98	36.46	17.54	2.55	1.47
the students good citizens					
University provides opportunities for	47.75	32.66	15.34	2.8	1.45
the continuous development of faculty					

